

NOTE

ON SIGNED DIGRAPHS WITH ALL CYCLES NEGATIVE

Guy CHATY

Université Paris-Nord, Avenue J-B Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France

Received 15 October 1986

Revised 26 May 1987

In “On signed digraphs with all cycles negative”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 12 (1985) 155–164, F. Harary, J.R. Lundgren and J.S. Maybee, identify certain families of such digraphs: the class of strong and upper digraphs and the class \mathcal{U} . We give here a characterization of the latter class and new proofs of two results concerning these classes, by using the c-minimal strongly connected digraphs. This note answers some questions of the authors.

The definitions not given here can be found in [1].

Let $D(V, E)$ be a digraph. V is the vertex set, E is the arc set. $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$.

A *chain* is a digraph with vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and arc set $\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}$ where $e_i = (v_i, v_{i+1})$ or (v_{i+1}, v_i) , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $v_1 = v_k$, the chain is called a *cycle*. A cycle can be considered as a vector of \mathbb{Z}^m . Several cycles are *independent* if the corresponding vectors are independent.

A *path* is a digraph with vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and arc set $\{(v_i, v_{i+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$. If $v_1 = v_k$, the path is called a *circuit* (or *directed cycle*).

An *elementary cycle* contains no vertex twice. (In [5], for digraphs, ‘cycle’ means ‘elementary directed cycle’.)

A *signed digraph* is a digraph whose arcs have been signed positive or negative by a sign function $\sigma: E \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$.

Theorem 1 [2–4]. *For a strongly connected digraph D the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *D has a minimal number of elementary circuits (i.e., $m - n + 1$).*
- (ii) *All the elementary circuits are independent.*
- (iii) *D has a circulation tree (spanning tree such that each elementary cycle associated with is a circuit).*
- (iv) *For every pair of vertices $\{x, y\}$ on the same elementary circuit, there is exactly one path from x to y or there is exactly one path from y to x .*

If a strongly connected digraph verifies any of these propositions, it is called a c-minimal strongly connected (cmsc) digraph.

Property 1 [2–4]. *Let D be a cmsc digraph and T a circulation tree of D .*

- (1) *Each arc of \bar{T} ($D - T$) is in one and only one elementary circuit of D .*
- (2) *Each elementary circuit of D contains one and only one arc of \bar{T} .*
- (3) *Each elementary circuit of D is formed with one path in T and one arc in \bar{T} .*

The explicit construction of circulation trees is completed in [6].

Denote by \mathcal{C} the class of c-minimal digraphs: digraphs whose strongly connected components are cmsc.

For signed digraphs, we keep the notation of [5]: \mathcal{N} is the class of all signed digraphs with all (directed) cycles negative. \mathcal{M} is the set of all digraphs D for which there exists a sign function σ such that $\sigma D \in \mathcal{N}$. A digraph is *upper* if there is a labelling of V such that the resulting adjacency matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ verifies $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i - j > 1$. \bar{U} is the class of *free cyclic* digraphs D : every cycle of D contains at least one arc which is not in any other cycle of D .

Theorem 2. $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $D(V, E)$ be a cmcs digraph and T a circulation tree of D . We define the sign function $\sigma: \sigma(e) = -1$ if $e \in \bar{T}$, $\sigma(e) = +1$ if $e \in T$. By Property 1, $D \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

Theorem 3. (1) *Each strong and upper digraph is cmcs.*

- (2) $\mathcal{C} = \bar{U}$.

Proof. (1) Let D be a strong and upper digraph, $H = (v_p, \dots, v_1)$ a Hamiltonian path of D . It is clear that H is a circulation tree of D .

(2) If $D \in \mathcal{C}$, then each elementary circuit of D contains at least one arc which is not on any other circuit of D ; it follows that $D \in \bar{U}$.

If $D \in \bar{U}$, then all the elementary circuits of a strong component are independent; it follows that $D \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

Corollary 1 [5, Theorem 2]. *If D is strong and upper, then $D \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Corollary 2 [5, Theorem 5]. *If $D \in \bar{U}$, then $D \in \mathcal{M}$.*

From Theorem 1(iv) and Theorem 3(2), it follows that the class \bar{U} is indeed a generalization of *unipathic* digraphs. (A digraph is unipathic if whenever v is reachable from u , there is exactly one path from u to v [5].)

Furthermore, the digraphs of \bar{U} , called *free cyclic* digraphs in [5], are characterized, in particular by using trees. That answers some questions of [5].

References

- [1] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973).
- [2] G. Chaty, *Graphes fortement connexes c-minimaux*, C.R.A.S. 266 (1968) 907-909.
- [3] G. Chaty, *Graphes fortement connexes c-minimaux et graphes sans circuit co-minimaux*, J. Combin. Theory 10(3) (1971) 237-244.
- [4] G. Chaty, *Cheminements remarquables dans les graphes*, Thèse de doctorat d'Etat, Université de Paris VI (1971).
- [5] F. Harary, J.R. Lungren and J.S. Maybee, *On signed digraphs with all cycles negative*, Discrete Appl. Math. 12 (1985) 155-164.
- [6] F. Sterboul, *Circuits et arbre de circulation d'un graphe fortement connexe*, R.A.I.R.O. 2 (1972) 3-8.